

Novità dal Meeting della Società Americana di Ematologia

Bologna Palazzo Re Enzo 13-15 Febbraio 2025

COORDINATORI Angelo Michele Carella Pier Luigi Zinzani BOARD SCIENTIFICO Paolo Corradini Mauro Krampera Fabrizio Pane Adriano Venditti



Terapia di Salvataggio con anticorpi Monoclonali/Bispecifici

Francesca Gay, MD

Università degli studi di Torino

Disclosures of Name Surname

Company name	Research support	Employee	Consultant	Stockholder	Speakers bureau	Advisory board	Other
Janssen,Sanofi, BMS, GSK, Takeda, Roche, Amgen, Pfizer, Menarini, Abbvie, Regeneron, Astrazeneca						X	x

Treatment landscape for triple-class exposed MM patients in 2025



Approved for pts that have received at least 3 prior lines, includung anti-CD38 MoAbs, PIs and IMIDs

New targets on myeloma cells and New drugs

BCMA

- BCMA is a member of the TNF receptor superfamily
- APRIL and BAFF are known ligands, leading to activation of the NF-κB pathway
- BCMA promotes plasma cell survival, growth, resistance to apoptosis, adhesion, and angiogenesis
- γ-secretase cleaving causes shedding of soluble BCMA
- BCMA is expressed on malignant PCs, at low levels on normal PCs and mature B lymphocytes and is absent in nonhematological tissues

FcRH5

- FcRH5 is a surface protein in the Ig superfamily
- It is expressed only in B cells, with increasing expression in mature B cells and plasma cells
- FcRH5 is involved in proliferation and isotype expression

GPRC5D

- GPRC5D is a member of the G proteincoupled receptor family with an **unknown** function
- It is highly expressed on malignant PCs, as well as hard keratinized structures (hair shaft, nail, and central region of the tongue)

Modality of targeting: ADC, Bispecific antibodies, CAR-T cells

Image adapted from Verkleij CPM, et al. Curr Opin Oncol. 2020;32:664-71 and Bruins WSC, et al. Front Immunol. 2020;11:1155.

APRIL, a proliferation-inducing ligand; BAFF, B-cell activating factor; BCMA, B-cell maturation antigen; CD, cluster of differentiation; FcRH5, Fc receptor-like 5; GPRC5D, G-protein coupled receptor family C group 5 member D; lg, immunoglobulin; MM, multiple myeloma; NF-kB, nuclear factor Bs; PC, plasma cell; SLAMF7, signaling lymphocytic activation molecule family member 7; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

1. Rodríguez-Lobato LG, et al. Front Oncol. 2020;10:1243. 2. Pillarisetti K, et al. Blood Adv. 2020;4:4538–49. 3. Yu B, et al. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13:125. 4. Verkleij CPM, et al. Blood Adv. 2020;5;2196-215. 5. Smith EL, et al. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11:eaau7746. 6. Li J, et al. Cancer Cell. 2017;31;383-95. 7. Bruins WSC, et al. Front Immunol. 2020;11:1155. 8. Lancman G, et al. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021;2:423-33.

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

Novel Constructs

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

Novel Constructs

BCMA-targeting bispecific antibodies

	Teclistamab MajesTEC-1 ¹ (n=165)	Elranatamab Magnetismm3 ² (n=123)	ABBV-383B ³ (n=118)	Linvoseltamab LINKER-MM1 ⁴ (n=117)
Phase	1/11	1/11	I.	II
Target	BCMA-CD3	BCMA-CD3	BCMA-CD3	BCMA-CD3
scFv	Humanized	Humanized	Human	Human
lg	lgG4	lgG2a	lgG4	lgG4
Administration	SC	SC	IV	IV
# prior lines	5 (2-14)	5 (2-12)	5 (1-15)	5 (2-14)
Age	64 (33-84)	69 (44-89)	68 (35-88)	70 (37-91)
	Teclistamab JNJ-6:007957 BEMAx ebsantibody	Cycitote: T cell activation CSU Elranatamab BCAA Myelona cell		Fab regions Fc Variable region

¹ Nooka et al. ASCO 2022; ² Bahlis et al. ASH 2022; ³ Voorhees et al. IMS 2022; ⁴ Hans L. et al. ASCO 2023;

MajesTEC-1: teclistamab for RRMM

aPR or better, IRC assessed; ORR in efficacy analysis population, which includes all patients who received their first dose on or before March 18, 2021 (n=150)

CR, complete response; DOR, duration of response; ORR, overall response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; sCR, stringent partial response; VGPR, very good partial response

Less frequent dosing in responders: modelling and simulation data from the MajesTEC-1 study

- Modelling and simulation results from MajesTEC-1 support the approved switch to Teclistamab 1.5 mg/kg Q2W in patients maintaining a response for ≥6 months, and indicate comparable PK between the 1.5 mg/kg Q2W and 3 mg/kg Q4W Teclistamab doses
- Exposure-response trends suggest that switching from QW to Q2W dosing did not affect maintenance of response to Teclistamab
- Maintenance of tumor volume reduction and DoR were comparable between virtual patients who switched to Q2W dosing after maintaining a response for ≥6 months and those who remained on QW dosing, based on QSP modeling
- Results from Teclistamab population PK modeling suggest that the 3mg/kg Q4W schedule may provide maintenance of response comparable with the 1.5 mg/kg Q2W schedule
- Teclistamab 3 mg/kg Q4W dosing will be evaluated in 3 phase 3 studies (MajesTEC-3, MajesTEC-9, and MonumenTAL-6) and in MajesTEC-10 (Phase I)

AUC, area under the curve; Cmax, maximum concentration; DoR, duration of response; MajesTEC, MajesTEC study series; PK, pharmacokinetics; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks; QSP, quantitative systems pharmacology; TAL, talquetamab; Teclistamab, bispecific T-cell engager targeting BCMA; tumor, abnormal growth of tissue.

Guo Y, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 1989).

Teclistamab single agent data at ASH 2024: Insights from real-world experiences

- Can we use Teclistamab in elderly patients?¹
 - Real-world analysis from US Multiple Myeloma Immunotherapy consortium (n= 385, 83 aged 75 or older)
 - Elderly patients had lower % of EMD and trend towards lower incidence of HR-CA and lower proportion of tripleclass refractory patients (>> selection bias)
 - Comparable safety with better survival likely related to better **patient selection** (mPFS 10.72 vs 5.2 m, p-value 0.005 and mOS NR vs 16.1 m, p-value 0.00479)
 - IMF database (N=81): frail older adults showed a trend towards higher rates of ≥ grade 2 CRS, ≥ grade 2 ICANS as well as ≥3 grade infections. Efficacy was maintained.
- Can we do the step-up dosing of Teclistamab in community hospitals?² (n=156, 45 pts in community cohort)
 - High % of patients with prior BCMA-therapy in the academic setting (34.2% vs 22.2%).
 - Higher proportion of ISS1, slightly higher ECOG, lower renal impairement (34.2 vs 17.8%), lower disease burden in community cohort (EMD 38.7% vs 26.7%)
 - Comparable safety: similar incidence of infections, hospitalization due to infections (9.9% vs 6.7%).
 - Higher efficacy (ORR 62.1% vs 81.3%) in the community likely related to better **patient selection**
- Tocilizumab prophylaxis in real-world (Teclistamab-Erlanatamab-Talquetamab)³ (n=72)
 - Tocilizumab IV 1h prior to SUD1. Overall incidence of CRS with tocilizumab 14%.
- Teclistamab after anti BCMA⁴ (n=193)
 - Lower ORR, VGPR and PFS (4.6 vs 8.2); better PFS if treatment free interval > 8 months

CRS, cytokine release syndrome; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EMD, extra medullary disease; HRCA, high-risk cytogenetic abnormalities; ISS, international staging system; IV, intravenous; m, months; mOS, median overall survival; mPFS, median progression free survival; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; US, United States.

1. Pasvolsky O et al, ASH 2024 (Abstract No.0934 - oral presentation); 2. Khan A et al, ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 0933 - oral presentation); 3. Kowalski A et al, ASH 2024 Abstract No. 0932 - oral presentation).4; Dima D at al ASH 2024 Abstract No. 0897

Teclistamab based Combinations: TRIMM-2 study Teclistamab + daratumumab + pomalidomide

42

40

	TRIM (≥3 prior L	1M-2 .OT); n=10		
	Any Grade	Grade 3/4		
Any infection	9 (90.0)	6 (60.0)		
Infections ^a				
Upper respiratory tract infection	4 (40.0)	0		
Pneumonia	4 (40.0)	4 (40.0)		
Sinusitis	4 (40.0)	1 (10.0)		
COVID-19	4 (40.0)	1 (10.0)		
COVID-19 pneumonia	1 (10.0)	1 (10.0)		
Hypogammaglobulinemia				
Hypogammaglobulinemia ^b	10 (*	100)		
Received IVIG ^c	8 (8	0.0)		
100 80 \$\$ 60 \$\$ 60 \$\$ 60 \$\$ 64.7% 47.1 \$\$ 20 \$\$ 23.5 \$\$ 5.9 \$\$ 5.9	70.0% (7/10) R: 40.0 10.0 20.0	≥CR: 59.3%	85.2% (23/27) 44.4 14.8 22.2 3.7	SCR CR VGPR PR
MajesTEC-2 (1–3 prior LOT)	TRIMM- (≥3 prior LC	2 DT) (All patients	s DT)
(n=17)	(n=10)		(N=27) D'Souza A.	et al ASH2024

Elranatamab: Phase 2 MagnetisMM-3 trial Median FUP 33.9 m

Key inclusion: RRMM ≥ 3 PL, Triple-class refractory (97%); Penta-ref: 41.5%^a Median age 68 y (36.0-89.0). Median n^oPL: 5 (2.0-22.0).

^aPenta-drug refers to ≥2 proteasome inhibitors, ≥2 immunomodulatory drugs, and ≥1 anti-CD38 antibody.

CI, confidence interval; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; DOR, duration of response; mFUP, median follow up; mo, months; NE, not evaluable; NR, not reached; OR, objective response; (m)OS, (median) overall survival; (m)PFS, (median) progression-free survival; PL, prior lines; pts, patients; Q4W, every 4 weeks; RR, relapsed/refractory; TCE, triple-class exposed; VGPR, very good partial response; .

1. Prince HM, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 4738 – poster).

Elranatamab Combination: MagnetisMM-20 trial (Erla-Kd)

Median FUP: 8.9m

Key inclusion: RRMM 1-3 PL, K-sensitive. If prior K wash-out at least 6 months. No prior BCMA. Median nºPL 2 (1-3); TCE 50%, only 1 prior K. N=12 DL1 Elranatamab 12, 32 and 44mg QW until C7 then Q2W DL2 12, 32 and 76mg QW until C7 then Q2W + Carfilzomib (K) 70mg/m2 weekly*

10

Response

ORR 100%; ≥CR 75%; ≥VGPR 91.7%

No ICANS was reported No DLT in 10 evaluable patients

*If patients received 6 or more months of QW ELRA and achieved PR or better (lasting 2 or months), the could change to Q2W dosing at the same DL.

BsAbs, bispecific antibodies; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; DL, dose level; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; Elra, elranatamab; EOT, end of trial; G, grade; K, carfilzomib; ICANS, immune cell associated neurotoxicity syndrome; IMWG, International Myeloma Working Group; ISS, international staging system; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PL, prior lines; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; QW, weekly; Q2W, every other week; SD, stable disease; TCE, triple class exposed; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event.

Tomasson MH, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 1024 – oral presentation).

Safety

Linvoseltamab Phase 1/2 FIH study in RRMM

Patient characteristics: Median age 70y; ISS III in 17.9%; EMD 14.5%; Median nº PL: 5 (2-19); (N=117; median FU 21.3 months)

Shorter PFS among pts with high-tumor burden

No new safety signal Infections 75.2; Grade 3-4 36.8%

CI, confidence interval; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; EMD, extra medullary disease; FIH, first in human; ISS, international staging system; mFUP, median follow up; m/mo, months; NE, not evaluable; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; PL, prior lines; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; RR, relapsed/refractory; TCE, triple class exposed.

Shah M, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 3369 - poster).

ABBV-383 (etentamig) combination + Dara + Dex

Phase 1b dose escalation and safety expansion study

Median nº PL: 4 (3-10); Prior AntiCD38 was allowed with > 90 days wash-out; AntiCD38-refractory 56%. Triple-class exposed 70%. N=86

ABBV-383 is composed of a **bivalent BCMA-binding domain with high avidity, a low-affinity CD3-binding domain** designed to mitigate cytokine release with potential for minimal T-cell exhaustion, and a present but silenced Fc tail resulting in an extended half-life and convenient dosing interval (every 4 weeks [Q4W]).

Safety

Adverse events	All grades	Grade 3-4
Neutropenia	48%	44%
CRS	29%	4%
ICANs	4%	1%
Infections	67%	26%

- 10 patients (12%) discontinued due to AEs
- 12 TEAE leading to death (none deemed related to the study drug)

Efficacy

	Etentami	g + Daratun	numab-Dex	amethasone
	20 mg n=34ª	40 mg n=35ª	60 mg n=11	Total N=80
Median follow-up, months ^b (range)	4 (0–17)	8 (1–13)	8 (1–10)	7 (0–17)
Median time to first response, months (range)	1.1 (1–6)	1.0 (1–4)	1.0 (0–1)	1.0 (0–6)
Depth of response				
sCR/CR	5 (15)	14 (40)	3 (27)	22 (28)
MRD neg (<10 ⁻⁵) among evaluable sCR/CR	1/2 (50)	12/12 (100)	3/3 (100)	16/17 (94)

^aData combined for dose-escalation and safety expansion cohorts. ^bBased on N=86 total patients in the full analysis set. Median follow up is 16 months (1–17) and 4 months (0–5) for 20 mg dose-escalation and –expansion cohorts, respectively, and 13 months (9–13) and 7 months for 40 mg dose-escalation and –expansion cohorts, respectively.

(s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; ICANS, immune cell associated neurotoxicity syndrome; MRD, minimal residual disease; ORR, objective response rate; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event. 1Rodriguez C, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 496 – oral presentation).

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

Novel Constructs

GPRC5D × CD3 T-cell bispecific antibody: Talquetamab MonumenTAL-1, Phase I/II study

Efficacy Outcomes	0.4 mg/kg QW (n = 143)	0.8 mg/kg Q2W (n = 154)	Prior TCR (n = 78)
Median follow-up, mo	29.8	23.4	20.5
Median DOR, mo (95% CI)†	9.5 (6.7–13.4)	17.5 (12.5–NR)	NA [‡]
Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	7.5 (5.7–9.4)	11.2 (8.4–14.6)	7.7 (4.1–14.5)
24 mo OS rate (95% CI)	60.6 (51.7–68.4)	67.1 (58.3–74.4)	57.3 (43.5–68.9)

AE, adverse event; BCMA, B-cell maturation antigen; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; DOR, duration of response; ICANS, immune cell effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome; mPFS, median progression-free survival; PR, partial response; NA, not applicable; NR, not reported; Q2W, every 2 weeks; QW, weekly; RP2D, recommended Phase II dose; SC, subcutaneous; sCR, stringent complete response; VGPR, very good partial response. 1. Chari A, et al. N Engl J Med 2022;387:2232-2244; 2. Schinke CD, et al. ASCO 2023 (Abstract No. 8036 – oral presentation); 3. Chari A, et al. ASH 2022 (Abstract No. 157 – presentation); Rasche L. et al, EHA24.

Targeting GPRC5D after anti-BCMA treatment: Real word data

≥20

Talquetamab, GPRC5DxCD3 : US Single Center¹

	BCMA CAR- T naïve (n=19)	BCMA CAR- T exposed (n=18)	BCMA BsAb exposed (n=6)	BCMA CAR- T and BsAb exposed (n=12)
ORR, n (%)	13 (68)	14 (78)	3 (50)	6 (50)
CR, n (%)	2 (11)	11 (61)	1 (17)	4 (33)

Talquetamab, GPRC5DxCD3 : US Retrospective 5 Centers²

Subgroup	ORR, n/N (%)	P value
BDT as immediate prior line		
Yes	12/25 (48.0)	<0.01
No	29/38 (80.6)	
Time from BDT		
<6 months	21/37 (56.8)	0.02
≥6 months	22/26 (84.6)	

Talquetamab, GPRC5DxCD3 : Real word Acentrus STUDY³ ORR 100 % 80 Proportion of patients, 60 84,2 81,8 40 78,6 20 0 Overall With prior BCMA Without prior BCMA (N=33) exposure exposure (N=19) (N=14) N=50 **AEs of Interest** Dysgeusia, n (%) 34 (68.0) Improvement 21 (61.8) Yes Days to improvement, mean [median] 79.0 [77.5] No 6 (17.6) Missing/unknown 7 (20.6) 24 (48.0) Decrease in weight, n (%) Change from baseline (median, %) -6.5% <5 9 (37.5) 5 - <10 12 (50.0) 10 - <20 3 (12.5)

0 (0.0)

1. Graeter A, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 5168 – poster); 2. Shakih H, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 5170 – poster). 3. Rodriguez C, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 5157 – poster)

Outline

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

Novel Constructs

Cevostamab (FcRH5-CD3 BsAbs)_GO39775 phase 1 trial

Key inclusion: RRMM for which no stablised therapies is available. Prior BCMA or GPRC5d were allowed.

Median nº PL 6 (2-18); 57.5% prior BCMA, 35.9% prior CAR, 24% prior BSAbs, 20.4% prior ADC N=324

Fixed duration (17 cycles = 12 m) Several cohorts evaluated 167 patients were treated at 160mg full dose with different SUD 0.3/1.2/3.6/160mg Q3W TS cohort >> Schedule moving forward

DoR at the 160 mg TD level among patients in PR+ (n=74)

- median time to first response: 1.4 m (range: 0.5–4.6)
- median time to best response: 2.6 m (range: 0.5–13.4)
- mDoR in VGPR+ (n=43): 21.2 months (95% CI: 15.0, 36.4)*

DoR after completion of therapy (17 cycles)

- 28 patients completed 17 cycles of treatment at the 160mg TD level
- 9 patients had responses
 ≥6 months from
 completion (8/9 in
 CR/sCR at completion)
- 6 patients had ongoing responses of <6 months
- 1 patient in sCR withdrew from study

Time on study in months, median (range): 14.8 (0.5-48.8).⁺

^{*}Unvalidated analysis; data cut-off: Aug 22, 2024; †Includees time after completion and/or discontinuation of treatment when AE reporting was limited to 90 days after the last dose of study drug of another anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurred first, and to treatment-related SAEs thereafter. ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; BsAbs, bispecific antibodies; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; CI, confidence interval; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; (m)DoR, (median) duration of response; mFUP, median follow up; m, months; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; PL, prior lines; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; Q3W, every 3 weeks; RR, relapsed/refractory; SAE, serious adverse event; SUD, step-up dose; TD, total dose. Richter J, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 1021 – presentation).

Cevostamab (FcRH5-CD3 BsAbs)_GO39775 phase 1 trial

Adverse events at the 160mg TD level (n=167)

N (%) unless stated	Any	Any related
Time on study in months, median (range)*	14.8 (0.	5-48.8)
AE Gr 3−4 Gr 5 (fatal) excluding PD	167 (100) 96 (57.5) 10 (6.0)†	154 (92.2) 72 (43.1) 3 (1.8)‡
SAE	96 (57.5)	47 (28.1)
AE leading to treatment discontinuation	30 (18.0)	13 (7.8)

• Most Gr 3–4 AEs were reversible cytopenias

• Almost all CRS was Gr 1–2, with the profile influenced by the step-dosing regimen

CRS Events at the RP2D dose (n=30)

	C1 0.3/1.2/3.6/160mg TS
N (%) of patients with:	n=30
CRS	19 (63.3)
Gr 1	14 (46.7)
Gr 2	5 (16.7)
Gr 3+	0
CRS leading to discontinuation	0
N (%) of patients receiving:	n=30
Tocilizumab	9 (30.0)
Steroids	4 (13.3)
Tocilizumab and steroids	2 (6.7)
N (%) of CRS events:	n=35
Resolved at data cut-off	35 (100)

CRS in the C1 0.3/1.2/3.6/160mg TS cohort by dose and Gr

Infections at 160 mg (n=167)

N (%) of patients	n=167
AE of infection	91 (54.5)
Gr 3–5 AE of infection	32 (19.2)
Gr 3	24 (14.4)
Gr 4	2 (1.2)
Gr 5 (fatal)	6 (3.6)
SAE of infection	37 (22.2)
AE of infection leading to treatment discontinuation	10 (6.0)

N (%) of patients	n=167
Pneumonia	16 (9.6)
URI	14 (8.4)
UTI	12 (7.2)
Rhinovirus infection	9 (5.4)
COVID-19	8 (4.8)
Sinusitis	6 (3.6)
Viral URI	6 (3.6)
Pneumonia viral	4 (2.4)
Conjunctivitis	4 (2.4)
Oral candidiasis	4 (2.4)
Skin infection	4 (2.4)

AE, adverse event; BsAbs, bispecific antibodies; Cx, cycle x; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; Dx, day x; Gr, grade; PD, progressive disease; RP2D, recommended Phase II dose; SAE, serious adverse event; URI, upper respiratory tract infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; TD, total dose. Richter J, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 1021 – presentation).

Outline

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

Novel Constructs

ISB 2001 (BCMAxCD38xCD3 trispecific antibody) FIH Dose escalation Ph1 trial

sCR

CR

PR

MR

SD

PD

11

NE/ Missing

Ongoing

VGPR

Key inclusion: TCE RRMM. Prior CAR, BSABs and BCMA allowed. N=20 Median nº PL 6 (3-11); Triple-class refr 5 (25%). AntiBCMA CAR n=2, AntiBsABs n=9 [4 GPRC5d, 6 FcRH5, 1 BCMA]. 5 patients with prior BCMA-ADC. EMD in 30%.

ISB2001 SUD 1 (C1D1), C1D4 SUD2 and weekly dosing

Safety

TEAEs	All grade	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Neutropenia	7 (35%)	3 (15%)	3 (15%)	
Related infections	9 (45%)	3 (15%)	0	
CRS	15 (75%)	0	0	
Median time to CRS 3 days (1-118) Median duration of CRS: 2 (1–8) days				
No neurological AEs or ICANS				

- Responses were maintained in patients refractory to antiCD38 MoAb
- Responses in patients without any prior CAR/BsAbs (n=10): ORR 90%; sCR 30%.
- ORR in patients with prior CAR or BsAbs (n=8) 75%, sCR 13%

^Dercentage of Patients

ORR in patients with prior BCMA therapy (n=7) 86%, CR 14%.

ADC, antibody drug conjugate; AE, adverse event; BsAbs, bispecific antibodies; C, cycle; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; EMD, extra medullary disease; FIH, first in humans; ICANS, immune cell associated neurotoxicity syndrome; mAb, monoclonal antibody; MRD, minimal residual disease; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PL, prior lines; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; SD, stable disease; SUD, step-up dose; TCE, triple class exposed; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event. 1. Quach H, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 1026 - oral presentation)

EMB06 (2+2 BCMAxCD3 BsAbs): Ph1 dose escalation study

Novel 2+2 BCMA×**CD3** features tetravalent binding domains in cis-configuration and optimized anti-CD3 arms.

Overall Response Rate (ORR)

(2016 IMWG^a response criteria)

91.7%

41.7%

25.0%

8.3%

0.2 - 60mg (N=26) 120 - 300mg (N=12) Overall (N=38)

SCR CR VGPR PR

39.5%

13.2%

13.2%

7.9%

100.0%

90.0%

80.0%

60.0%

50.0%

40.0%

30.0%

20.0%

10.0%

0.0%

15.4%

7.7%

Key eligibility: RRMM ≥2 prior lines. Prior PI and IMIDs exposure. AntiCD38 if accesible. N=40, median 3 prior lines
Safety

Dosing Schedule: 1-2 SUD followed by weekly dosing

TEAEs All grade G3-4 Neutropenia 17 10 (42.5%)(25%) 13 Thrombocytopenia 8 (32.5%)(20%)**Fever** 14 1 (35%)(2.5%)Infections 28 15 (70%) (37.5%)**Opportunistic infections** 3 1 (7.5%)(2.5%)

Response

Response	0.2-60mg (N=27)	120-300mg (N=13)	Overall (N=40)
Response-evaluable patients, ^b n	26	12	38
ORR, n (%)	4 (15.4)	11 (91.7)	15 (39.5)
Rate of MRD negativity, n/m* (%)	3/4 (75)	4/4 (100)	7/8 (87.5)
Time to response (months), median (range)	1.2(1.2-2.1)	1.2 (1.1-2.1)	1.2 (1.1-2.1)
DOR (months), median (95% CI)†	NR (22.1, NE)	NR	NR (22.1, NE)
DOR Rate % (95% CI) @ 9 months†	100 (100, 100)	100 (100, 100)	100 (100, 100)
PFS (months), median (95% CI)†	2.1 (1.2, 3.0)	NA	3.0 (1.9, NA)

- No ≥G3CRS
- 4 deaths from TEAEs included: 3 PD and 1 cardiac failure.
- TEAEs leading to dose interruption 23 (57.5%)

AE, adverse event; BsAbs, bispecific antibodies; CI, confidence interval; (s)CR, (stringent) stable complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; DLT, dose limiting toxicity; DoR, duration of response; EMD, extra medullary disease; IMID, immunomodulatory drug; MRD, minimal residual disease; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression free survival; PI, proteasome inhibitor; (VG)PR, (very good) partial response; RR, relapsed/refractory; SUD, step-up dose; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event. Tan PT, et al. ASH 2024 (Abstract No. 498 – presentation).

Conclusions

Bispecific Antibodies anti-BCMA:

-Teclistamab and Erlanatamab single agent in late lines ORR ~60%, mPFS 11-17 months;

-Tec real life: importance of pt selection? Dose Optimization?

Bispecific Antibodies anti-GPRC5D:

-Talquetamab ORR ~ 70%; mPFS 7-11 months.

- Role for sequencing after BCMA? Dose/Schedule optimization?

Bispecific Antibodies anti-FcRH5

-Cevostamab ORR ~ 45%; mduration of response 10 months ; fixed duration

Future Development

- Novel Constructs under development

- Combo under evaluation \rightarrow is there a role for combo in late lines?

Division of Hematology, Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, University of Torino Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Torino, Italy Prof . Benedetto Bruno

Clinical trial and multiple myeloma Unit Dr. Sara Bringhen

Dr. Francesca Gay Dr. Roberto Mina Dr. Giulia Benevolo Dr. Stefania Oliva Dr. Mattia D'Agostino Dr. Giuseppe Bertuglia Dr. Lorenzo Cani Dr. Andrea Casson Dr. Tommaso Picardi Dr. Edoardo Marchetti

Laboratory Staff Transplant Unit Nurses Data Managing Staff

European Myeloma Network

Prof Mario Boccadoro Prof Pieter Sonneveld EMN team

